UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,299	09/25/2006	Remi Noirot	FR 040035	6634
24737 7590 07/07/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			CROWE, DAVID R	
BKIAKCLIFF	MANOK, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2885	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/599,299	NOIROT, REMI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DAVID R. CROWE	2885					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Ag</u>	oril 2009						
,—	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Without consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [ Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/599,299 Page 2

Art Unit: 2885

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/13/2009 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kalze et al (US 5,915,829).
- 4. Re claim 1: Kalze discloses means for generating a convergent beam having a central axis [light source 2 plus reflector [1] which must be elliptical due to the disclosed first and second focal points] [where the applicant has defined the means for generating "can be any means adapted for generating a convergent beam. For example, a light source with an elliptic reflector can generate a convergent beam." Page 3 lines 8 and 9];

a lens [4] located substantially around said central axis for receiving the convergent beam; and means for moving said lens [mountings, figure 2] with respect to said generating means.

5. Re claim 3: Kalze discloses the moving means are adapted to move said lens [4] in a direction perpendicular to said central axis [along axis (6) or axis (7) in figure 1 of Kalze].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fritsch (US 3,522,424).

Fritsch teaches means for generating a convergent beam [as required by **claim** 5], comprising a light source [14], collimating means [13], and a convergent lens [18] having a central axis; a lens [25] located substantially around said central axis for receiving the convergent beam; and moving means [37] adapted to move in a direction parallel to said central axis [as required by **claim 2**].

Fritsch moves the convergent lens [18] of claim 5 and not "said lens" of claim 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fritsch to make lens array [24] adjustable instead of array [17], since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only ordinary skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ (CCPA 1954). Further since array 17 is receiving a collimated beam, the location of the array [17] with respect to the light source [14] and reflector [13] is irrelevant, the function of the device is based on the relative positions of the array [17] with respect to the lens array [24]. In this case one skilled in the art would be motivated to select the lens to adjust based on design considerations such as the manufacturability of the device.

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID R. CROWE whose telephone number is (571)272-9088. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong-Suk (James) Lee can be reached on 571-272-7044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,299 Page 5

Art Unit: 2885

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ISMAEL NEGRON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2885

DRC 6/8/2009